

The Linear Zeeman effect in the molecular positronium Ps2 (dipositronium)

Daniel L. Miller¹

¹Intel

The linear Zeeman effect in the molecular positronium Ps2 (dipositronium) is predicted for some of $S = 1$, $M = \pm 1$ states. This result is opposite to the case of the positronium atom; the latter has only quadratic Zeeman effect.

PACS numbers: 36.10.Dr,32.60.+i,11.30.Er,11.30.Pb

The lack of the linear Zeeman effect in the positronium is one of the most elementary text book problems in QED[1] reported experimentally as earlier as in 1952[2]. In the recent years we see return to the positronium research in connection with high order radiation correction[3], antihydrogen synthesis[4, 5] and positronium molecules[6]. The primary purpose of this work is to check if the linear Zeeman effect is possible in the dipositronium - the simplest neutral system of two electrons and two positrons.

Many authors reported calculations of the stability, symmetry and annihilation of the dipositronium [7–13] using powerful numeric techniques and much improving relatively simple calculations of Wheeler[14] and Ore[15]; besides the state with $L = 1$ was discovered[16, 17]. The Zeeman effect is not directly calculated in the cited papers. It raises the complexity of the analysis; besides it was not measured to the best of my knowledge.

The paper shows that there are two ways to generalize the positronium text book solution to the dipositronium; one old (see Sec. A) gives no Zeeman effect similarly to the positronium; other (new) does predict linear Zeeman effect for four states with $M = \pm 1$. My argument in favor of the new solution is that it follows the decomposition of spinor multiplication.

The four mentioned states of the dipositronium have a non-zero diagonal element of the spin magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$. The magnetic field H will shift the energies of these states by amount

$$V_H = - \langle \mu_z | H \rangle, \quad (1)$$

that is the linear Zeeman split of quantum energy levels. These 4 states have spin $S = 1$ and spin projection $M = \pm 1$. The experiment shows transition between $S = 0, L = 0$ and $S = 0, L = 1$ energy levels[6]. Therefore the measurement of the linear Zeeman effect in the mix of the dipositronium and positronium becomes superimportant; it will reveal the presence of the dipositronium molecules in states with $S = 1$.

The spin operator \vec{S} and the spin magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$ for the dipositronium molecule are

$$\vec{S} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\sigma}_{e1} + \vec{\sigma}_{p1} + \vec{\sigma}_{e2} + \vec{\sigma}_{p2}) \quad (2)$$

$$\vec{\mu} = \mu_0(\vec{\sigma}_{p1} - \vec{\sigma}_{e1} + \vec{\sigma}_{p2} - \vec{\sigma}_{e2}). \quad (3)$$

These operators act on the wave function having 16 components labeled by spins as $|e1, p1, e2, p2\rangle$. The eigenfunctions of the spin operator $|S, M\rangle$ come in groups according to eigenvalues of the spin projection M . The calculation of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for system of two positrons and two electrons should be done in two steps; the first step is the calculation of the decomposition of spinors

$$[1, 0] \cdot [0, 1] \cdot [1, 0] \cdot [0, 1] = [0, 0] + [2, 0] + [0, 2] + [2, 2] \quad (4)$$

the second step is taking the non-relativistic limit and transition to the regular spin states. In other words, the spin states should be classified according to representations of the $SO(4)$.

The 16 combinations of the 4 spins give 9 components to $[2, 2]$, 3 components to each of $[2, 0]$ and $[0, 2]$ and last 1 to $[0, 0]$. We will label new states by the spinor index $[J, K]$, by the total spin S and by the spin projection M , written together as $|S, M[J, K]\rangle$.

We start with the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for $M = \pm 2$; all these states have zero magnetic moment matrix elements

$$|2, 2[2, 2]\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, \quad |2, -2[2, 2]\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \quad (5)$$

$$\langle 2, \pm 2 | \mu_z | 2, \pm 2 \rangle = 0, \quad (6)$$

then continue with $|S, M = 1, [J, K]\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} |2, 1[2, 2]\rangle & \\ |1, 1[2, 2]\rangle & \\ |1, 1[1, 0]\rangle & \\ |1, 1[0, 1]\rangle & \end{aligned} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{aligned} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where numbers in square brackets label the $SO(4)$ original state. Here the breakthrough is coming: the magnetic moment acquires the diagonal matrix elements

$$\langle \mu_z | \rangle = 2\mu_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where states should be labeled as in the left hand side of Eq. (7). Therefore the linear Zeeman split can be

observed in $|1, 1[1,0]\rangle$ and $|1, 1[0,1]\rangle$ states. Same result with opposite sign is obtained for $M = -1$; it leads to the linear Zeeman effect for states $|1, -1[1,0]\rangle$ and $|1, -1[0,1]\rangle$.

The spin states of the dipositronium with $M = 0$ are most similar to states of the positronium; the magnetic moment vanishes for most of the quantum transitions. To be specific

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{array}{l} |1, 0[0,1]\rangle \\ |1, 0[1,0]\rangle \\ |0, 0[0,0]\rangle \\ |2, 0[2,2]\rangle \\ |1, 0[2,2]\rangle \\ |0, 0[2,2]\rangle \end{array} = U \begin{array}{l} |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \end{array} \\
 & U = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle |\mu_z| \rangle = -4\mu_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ & & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ & & & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

so three $M = 0$ states have no Zeeman effect in any order of the perturbation theory; three other $M = 0$ states have only the quadratic Zeeman split. This is similar to the Zeeman effect in positronium[2], where the dispersion of $M = \pm 1$ states is flat in the magnetic field.

In the summary of the work: the dipositronium magnetic moment is calculated for the spin states; the orbital motion of the quartet of charges is not discussed. The linear Zeeman effect is found for some of $S = 1$ states and should be accessible experimentally. States with $S = 0$ and $S = 2$ have no linear shift in the magnetic field. These results should help to classify the spin configurations of the dipositronium in real systems.

The calculation of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for dipositronium in [9, 10] was done in other order; authors take the spin states of positronium and combine them into the dipositronium. In this scheme the spin magnetic moment has no diagonal elements because it does not have them for positronium (see the calculation in the appendix); therefore the linear Zeeman effect does not exist. The measurement of the linear Zeeman split be-

comes important to understand what is the right way to classify the dipositronium spin states.

The dipositronium ground state is assumed to be the $S = 0$ singlet by all authors working on this matter. The state with $S = 1$ is therefore the excited state with shorter lifetime and harder accessible for spectroscopy in the magnetic field. However, the $S > 0$ ground state is predicted by the theory with anticommuting charge conjugation and particle exchange.[18] The ground state should be antisymmetric with respect to permutation of the particles and symmetric with respect to permutation of the antiparticles. For the ground state the spatial wave function should be symmetric, so the above symmetry rule is applied to the spin state. So the system of two particles and two antiparticles must have three spins up and one down (or opposite); this leads to $M = \pm 1$ and $S = 1$ ground state. The observation of the linear Zeeman effect in the dipositronium ground state will provide data in favor of this theory.

APPENDIX A: THE PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED CALCULATION

The other ‘‘common’’ way to solve this problem is to think of di-positronium as if it is made from two positronium atoms having spins S_1 and S_2 . In this case the states of the dipositronium are labeled by $|S, M(S_1, S_2)\rangle$, where S and M are spin and spin projection of the dipositronium.

In this case the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for $M = 1$ states (candidates for non-zero linear Zeeman effect) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{array}{l} |2, 1(1,1)\rangle \\ |1, 1(1,1)\rangle \\ |1, 1(1,0)\rangle \\ |1, 1(0,1)\rangle \end{array} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & & \\ & & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \end{array} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad (A1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the magnetic moment has no diagonal matrix elements similarly to that of the positronium:

$$\langle |\mu_z| \rangle = 2\mu_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (A2)$$

I believe that this approach is fundamentally wrong, because there is no reason for the dipositronium molecule to preserve the internal spin structure of each of the positronium atoms.

[1] V. B. Berestetskii, L. P. Pitaevskii, and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Electrodynamics: Volume 4*, §84, Elsevier, 2010

[2] A. Rich, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **53**, 127 (1981)

[3] M. Baker, P. Marquard, A. A. Penin, J. Piclum, and M. Steinhauser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 120407 (2014)

[4] F. Castelli, I. Boscolo, S. Cialdi, M. G. Giammarchi, and D. Comparat, *Phys. Rev. A*, **78**, 052512 (2008)

- [5] M. Doser et al., *Physics Procedia*, **17**, 49 (2011)
- [6] D. B. Cassidy, T. H. Hisakado, H. W. K. Tom, and A. P. Mills, Jr., *Phys.Rev.L* **108**, 133402 (2012)
- [7] Y. K. Ho, *Phys. Rev. A*, **33**, 3584 (1986)
- [8] D. B. Kinghorn and R. D. Poshusta, *Phys. Rev. A*, **47**, 3671 (1993)
- [9] P. M. Platzman, and A. P. Mills, *Phys. Rev. B*, **49**, 454, (1994)
- [10] D. M. Schrader, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **92**, 043401 (2004)
- [11] D. H. Bailey and A. M. Frolov, *Phys. Rev. A*, **72**, 014501 (2005)
- [12] S. Bubin, M. Stanke, D. Kedziera, L. Adamowicz, *Phys. Rev. A*, **75**, 062504 (2007)
- [13] H. Hgaasen, J.-M. Richard, and P. Sorba, *American J. Phys.* **78**, 86 (2010)
- [14] J. A. Wheeler, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 48, 219 (1946)
- [15] A. Ore, *Phys Rev.* **70**, 90 (1946)
- [16] K. Varga, J. Usukura, and Y. Suzuki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **80**, 1876 (1998)
- [17] M. Puchalski and A. Czarnecki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **101**, 183001 (2008)
- [18] D. L. Miller, submitted to *Phys. Rev. A* (2015)